Intro to Programming Languages





Overview

Motivation

Conclusion

Programming Languages



- Overview
- Motivation
- Conclusion

Programming Languages Overview

- Purpose:
 - Discover language design successes and failures.
 - Discover how languages are designed and implemented.
- Several real languages will be programmed but:
 - Course is not intended to teach programming
 - Experience the key programming language elements that are common to or distinguish two classes of languages.
 - Assume you can already program in at least one object oriented language that uses Java style syntax.
 - C# used as a recent object oriented, threaded and networking language.
 - F# used as a very high-level language mainly for studying and implementing interpretive language.



- Overview
- Motivation

Conclusion

- A programming language is the problem-solving tool that computer science uses for human expression of computing solutions.
 - Ideas are expressed in a language.

Overview, continued

- The Sapir-Whorf linguistic theory states that the structure of language defines the boundaries of thought.
 - New ideas often require new language, for example: algebra.
- A given language can impede or facilitate certain modes of thought.
- All programming languages are capable of solving any computable problem – computer languages are equivalent.
 - No programming language can prevent a problem solution.
 - A given language can subtly influence the class of solutions examined and the quality of a program.



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversie
- Evolution
- Other Connection
- Conclusion

Motivation

School of Computing and Data Science

Frank Kreimendahl | kreimendahlf@wit.edu



Motivation

Variety

- Imperative Functional Logical OO Strengths
- Forth
- APL
- Controve
- Evolution
- Connection
- Other Connections

Conclusion

The Amazing Variety

- There are very many, very different languages
- A list that used to be posted occasionally on comp.lang.misc had over 2300 published languages in 1995
- Often grouped into four families:
 - Imperative
 - Functional
 - Logic
 - Object-oriented



Motivation

Variety

Imperative

Functional Logical OO Strengths Families Forth APL Controversies Evolution

Connection

Other Connections

Conclusion

Imperative Languages

Example (a factorial function in C)

```
int fact(int n) {
    int sofar = 1;
    while (n>0) sofar *= n--;
    return sofar;
}
```

■ Hallmarks of imperative languages:

- Assignment and side-effects
- Iteration
- Order of execution is critical



Motivation

Variety

Imperativ

Functional

Logical OO

Eamiliae

Forth

APL.

Controversie

Evolution

Connection

Other Connections

Conclusion

Functional Languages

Example (a factorial function in ML)

```
let rec factorial x =
```

```
if x \le 0 then 1 else x \ast factorial (x-1)
```

Hallmarks of functional languages:

- Single-valued variables
- Heavy use of recursion
- Functions are first-class citizens, can be used as parameters, function results, etc.
- Minimal use of assignments and side-effects



Motivation

Variety

Imperative

Functional

OO Strengths Families

Forth

Controversi

Evolution

Connection

Other Connection

Conclusion

Another Functional Language

Example (a factorial function in Lisp)

(defun fact (x)

(if (<= x 0) 1 (* x (fact (- x 1)))))

- Looks very different from ML
 - Fully-parenthesized, prefix syntax
- But ML and Lisp are closely related
 - Single-valued variables: no assignment
 - Heavy use of recursion: no iteration



Motivation

Variety

Functional

Logical

OO Strengths Families Forth APL Controversies Evolution Connection Other Connection

Conclusion

Logic Languages

Example (a factorial function in Prolog)

```
fact(X,1) :-
    X =:= 1.
fact(X,Fact) :-
    X > 1,
    NewX is X - 1,
    fact(NewX,NF),
    Fact is X * NF.
```

- Hallmarks of logical languages:
 - Program expressed as rules in formal logic
 - Execution attempts to prove a result based upon rules



Motivation

Variety Imperative Functional Logical

00

Strengths Families Forth APL Controversies Evolution Connection Other Connections Conclusion

Object-Oriented Languages

Example (a factorial function object in C#)

```
public class Int {
    private int n;
    public Int(int n) { this.n = n; }
    public int N
        get { return this.n; }
        set { this.n = value; }
    }
    public Int getFact()
    { return new Int(fact(n)); }
    private int fact(int n)
   ſ
        if (n \le 0) return 1;
        else return n * fact(n - 1);
    }
3
```



Motivation

- Variety
- Imperative
- Functional
- Logic
- 00
- Strengths Families Forth APL Controversies Evolution Connection
- Other Connection
- Conclusion

Object-Oriented Languages

- Hallmarks of object-oriented languages:
 - Usually imperative, plus...
 - Constructs to help programmers use "objects" little bundles of data that know how to do things to themselves



Motivation

- Variety
- Imperative
- Functional
- Logical
- Strengths
- Families Forth
- APL
- Controvers
- Evolution
- Connection
- Other Connections
- Conclusion

Strengths and Weaknesses

- The different language groups show an advantage on different kinds of problems
- Decide for yourself at the end of the semester, after experimenting with them
- For now, one comment: don't jump to conclusions based on factorial!
 - Functional languages do well on such functions
 - Imperative languages, a bit less well
 - Logic languages, considerably less well
 - Object-oriented languages need larger examples



Motivation

- Variety Imperativ
- Functional
- Logical
- 00
- Strengths
- Families
- Forth APL Controversies
- Connection
- Other Connection
- Conclusion

About Those Families

- There are many other language family terms (not exhaustive and sometimes overlapping)
 - Applicative, concurrent, constraint, declarative, definitional, procedural, scripting, single-assignment, ...
- Some languages straddle families
- Others are so unique that assigning them to a family is pointless



Motivation

- Variety
- Imperative
- Functional
- Logical
- 00
- Strength
- Families
- Forth
- APL
- Controversi
- Evolution
- Other Compared
- Conclusion

Example: Forth Factorial

Example (a factorial function in Forth)

- : FACTORIAL
 - 1 SWAP BEGIN ?DUP WHILE TUCK * SWAP 1- REPEAT ;
 - A stack-oriented language
 - Postscript language used by printers is similar
 - Could be called *imperative*, but has little in common with most imperative languages



Motivation

- Variety Imperative Functional
- Logical
- 00
- Strength
- Fammes
- APL
- Controversies Evolution Connection
- Conclusion

Example: APL Factorial

Example (a factorial function in APL)

FACTORIAL $\leftarrow \{\times / \iota X\}$

- An APL expression that computes X's factorial
- Expands X into a vector of the integers 1..X, then multiplies them all together
- (You would not really do it that way in APL, since there is a predefined factorial operator: !X)
- Could be called *functional*, but has little in common with most functional languages



Motivation

Variety

Controversies

Partisans

- Standards
- Definitions
- Evolution
- Connection
- Other Connections

Conclusion

The Odd Controversies

- Programming languages are the subject of many heated debates:
 - Partisan arguments
 - Language standards
 - Fundamental definitions



Motivation

Variety

Controversie

- Partisans
- Standards
- Definition
- Evolution
- Other Company

Conclusion

Language Partisans

- There is a lot of argument about the relative merits of different languages
- Every language has partisans, who praise it in extreme terms and defend it against all detractors
- To experience some of this, explore newsgroups: comp.lang.* or /r/programming



Motivation

Variety

Controversie

Partisans

Standards

- Definition
- Connection
- Other Connection

Conclusion

Language Standards

- The documents that define language standards are often drafted by international committees
- Can be a slow, complicated and rancorous process
- C++ 98, 03, 07/TR1, 11, 14, 17, 20



Motivation

Variety

- Controversie
- Partisans
- Standards

Definitions

- Evolution
- Other Connectic

Conclusion

- Some terms refer to fuzzy concepts: all those language family names, for example
- No problem, just remember they are fuzzy
 - Bad: Is X really an *object-oriented* language?
 - Good: What aspects of X support an *object-oriented* style of programming?
- Some crisp concepts have conflicting terminology: one person's *argument* is another person's *actual parameter*

Basic Definitions



Motivation

Variety

- Controversies
- Evolution
- New Lan Java
- Algol
- Dialects
- Fortran
- Connection
- Other Connection:
- Conclusion

The Intriguing Evolution

- Programming languages are evolving rapidly
 - New languages are being invented
 - Old ones are developing new dialects



Motivation

Variety

- Controversie
- Evolution
- New Languages
- Java Algol Dialects Fortran Connection
- Conclusion

New Languages

- A clean slate: no need to maintain compatibility with an existing body of code
- But never entirely new any more: always using ideas from earlier designs
- Some become widely used, others do not
- Whether widely used or not, they can serve as a source of ideas for the next generation



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Java
- Dialects Fortran
- Connection
- Conclusion

Widely Used: Java

- Quick rise to popularity since 1995 release
- C# uses many ideas from Java and C++, plus some from Mesa, Modula, and other languages
- C++ uses most of C and extends it with ideas from Simula 67, Ada, Clu, ML and Algol 68
- C was derived from B, which was derived from BCPL, which was derived from CPL, which was derived from Algol 60



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- New Language
- Algol
- Dialects Fortran
- Connection
- Other Connections
- Conclusion

Not Widely Used: Algol

- One of the earliest languages: Algol 58, Algol 60, Algol 68
- Never widely used
- Introduced many ideas that were used in later languages, including
 - Block structure and scope
 - Recursive functions
 - Parameter passing by value



Dialects

Programming Languages

Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- New Language
- Java
- Algol
- Dialects
- Fortran Connection
- Other Connectior
- Conclusion

- Experience with languages reveals their design weaknesses and leads to new dialects
- New ideas pass into new dialects of old languages



Some Dialects Of Fortran

Programming Languages

Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- New Language
- Java
- Algol
- Dialects
- Fortran
- Connection
- Conclusion

Original Fortran, IBM

- Major standards:
 - Fortran II
 - Fortran III
 - Fortran IV
 - Fortran 66
 - Fortran 77
 - Fortran 90
 - Fortran 95
 - Fortran 2003
 - Fortran 2008
 - Fortran 2018

- Deviations in each implementation
- Parallel processing
 - HPF
 - Fortran M
 - Vienna Fortran
- And many more...



- Motivation
- Variety
- Controversie
- Evolution
- Connection
- Influences Fighting Imperative ML Non-OO C# Functional Pase Influences
- Conclusion

The Connection To Programming Practice

- Languages influence programming practice
 - A language favors a particular programming style a particular approach to algorithmic problem-solving
- Programming experience influences language design



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Connection
- Influences
- Fighting Imperative ML Non-OO C# Functional Pass Influences
- Other Connections
- Conclusion

Language Influences Programming Practice

- Languages often strongly favor a particular style of programming
 - Object-oriented languages: a style making heavy use of objects
 - Functional languages: a style using many small side-effect-free functions
 - Logic languages: a style using searches in a logically-defined problem space



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Connection
- Influences
- Fighting
- Imperative ML Non-OO C#
- Functional Pa
- Influences
- Other Connections
- Conclusion

Fighting the Language

- Languages favor a particular style, but do not force the programmer to follow it
- It is always possible to write in a style not favored by the language
- It is not usually a good idea...
 - C++ is not good for logic programming.
 - Prolog is not good for systems programming.



Motivation

Fighting
Imperative ML
Conclusion

Imperative ML

ML makes it hard to use assignment and side-effects. But it is still possible:

Example



Motivation

Variety Controversies Evolution Connection Influences Fighting Imperative ML Non-OQ C#

Functional Pascal Influences Other Connections

Conclusion

Non-object-oriented C#

C#, more than C++, tries to encourage you to adopt an object-oriented mode. But you can still put your whole program into static methods of a single class:

Example

}

```
class Fubar {
  public static void Main (string args[]) {
    // whole program here!
  }
}
```



Motivation

```
Variety
Controversies
Evolution
Connection
Influences
Fighting
Imperative ML
Non-OO C#
Functional Pascel
Influences
Other Connections
```

Functional Pascal

Any imperative language that supports recursion can be used as a functional language:

Example

```
function ForLoop(Low, High: Integer): Boolean;
  begin
    if Low <= High then
      begin
        {for-loop body here}
        ForLoop := ForLoop(Low+1, High)
      end
    else
      ForLoop := True
  end;
```



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Connection
- Influences
- Imperative MI
- Non-OO C#
- Functional Pasca
- Influences
- Other Connections
- Conclusion

Programming Influences Language Design

- Corrections to design problems make future dialects, as already noted
- Programming styles can emerge before there is a language that supports them
 - Programming with objects predates object-oriented languages
 - Automated theorem proving predates logic languages



Motivation

Variety

Controversi

Evolution

Connection

Other Connection

Computer Architecture

Theory Turing Equivalence

Conclusion

Computer Architecture

- Language evolution drives and is driven by hardware evolution:
 - Call-stack support languages with recursion
 - Parallel architectures parallel languages
 - Internet Java



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Connection
- Other Connections Computer
- Theory
- Turing Equivalence
- Conclusion

Theory of Formal Languages

- Theory of formal languages is a core mathematical area of computer science
 - Regular grammars, finite-state automata lexical structure of programming languages, scanner in a compiler
 - Context-free grammars, pushdown automata phrase-level structure of programming languages, parser in a compiler
 - Turing machines Turing-equivalence of programming languages



Motivation

- Variety
- Controversies
- Evolution
- Connection
- Other Connection
- Architecture
- Theory
- Turing Equivalence

Conclusion

Turing Equivalence

- Languages have different strengths, but fundamentally they all have the same power
 - {problems solvable in Java}
 - = {problems solvable in Fortran}
 - = . . .
- And all have the same power as various mathematical models of computation
 - {problems solvable by Turing machine}
 {problems solvable by lambda calculus}
 ...
- Church-Turing thesis: this is what "computability" means



Motivation

Conclusion

Conclusion

School of Computing and Data Science

Frank Kreimendahl | kreimendahlf@wit.edu



Conclusion

- Programming Languages
- Motivation
- Conclusion

- Why programming languages are worth studying (and this course worth taking):
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections
- Plus...there is the fun of learning three new languages!